

HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT[421]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 421—Chapters 30 and 31
“Chapter Rescissions”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 216A
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Executive Order 10 and 2023 Iowa Acts,
Senate File 514

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

September 8, 2026
10 a.m.

Microsoft Teams
Meeting ID: 245 818 881 867 66
Passcode: ya9va9uv

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written or oral comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Department of Health and Human Services no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Victoria L. Daniels
321 East 12th Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Phone: 515.829.6021
Email: compliancerules@hhs.iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

As part of the Executive Order 10 Red Tape Review process and pursuant to 2023 Iowa Acts, Senate File 514, regarding government realignment, the Department has moved relevant chapters from the legacy Department of Human Rights agency [421] to agency [441].

The Department consulted with the Department of Public Safety on Chapter 30 and it was determined that the information in this chapter is no longer needed. As such, the Department proposes to rescind this chapter and will not repromulgate it under agency [441].

Chapter 31 addresses the grant process for funds received through Title II of the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. Because the information in the chapter can be covered in the grant contracts themselves, this chapter is proposed to be rescinded and will not be repromulgated under agency [441].

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

• **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

There are no costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.

• **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

No one will benefit from this proposed rulemaking, but neither will anyone be harmed.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

Two administrative chapters are proposed to be rescinded.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

Two administrative chapters are proposed to be rescinded.

3. Costs to the State:

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

There are no costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

This rulemaking has no impact on State revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Chapter rescission is appropriate since the Department is moving all pertinent agency [421] chapters to agency [441]. The two chapters proposed to be rescinded are no longer pertinent.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

Not applicable.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.

- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

This proposed rulemaking has no impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **421—Chapter 30.**

ITEM 2. Rescind and reserve **421—Chapter 31.**